



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,079 12/19/2001		Takeshi Hoshida	1460.1033	8722		
21171	7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER		
STAAS & H	ALSEY LL	P	TRAN, DZUNG D			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENU	JE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO			2633	Q		
				DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	, 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 1: - A! - A!	6					
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/021,079		HOSHIDA ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Dzung D Tran		2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
• •									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 May 2004</u> .								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>11-19 and 25</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,20-24 and 26-29</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summarv	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (170-032) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) 🔲 Notice o	-	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 22-24 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. US patent no. 6,263,139 in view of Grubb et al. US patent no. 6,344,922.

Regarding claims 1 and 23, Kawakami discloses an optical communication system comprising:

a transmitting station 1;

an optical transmission line 3 for transmitting an optical signal sent from said transmitting station 1;

a repeater station (figure 5, elements #1 to #n) provided in said optical transmission line between said transmitting station 1 and receiving station 2; and

a first pump light sources 12 located in said transmitting station 1, a second pump light sources 24 located said receiving station 2, wherein the first and second pump light being selected to reduce gain tilt of the Raman amplification (abstract, col. 2, lines 60-62). Kawakami does not specifically

Art Unit: 2633

7

disclose a first pump light sources 12 and a second pump light sources 24 supplying two different wavelengths. However, Kawakami discloses different pumps designated no. at different location (i.e. pump 12 at transmitting station, pump 24 or 24a, 24b at receiving station, meaning different pump could supplied different wavelengths). Furthermore, Grubb, from the same field of endeavor, discloses different pump light sources (32, 32_1 , 32_2 , ... 32_n) for supplying pump light to said optical transmission line, wherein pump light has two types or more of wavelengths (λ 1, λ 2, ... λ n). Therefore, if it is not inherently, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the teaching of Grubb in the system of Kawakami that is to provide pump energy supplied via one or more of the pump wavelength (col. 6, lines 57-66) into the optical transmission line of Kawakami in order to compensate loss in an optical transmission and decease the extent of interference, i.e., crosstalk, that occurs between Raman pump wavelengths, as well as the signal wavelength.

Regarding claim 26, Kawakami further discloses plurality of pump light sources (71a, 72a, 73a, 71b, 72b, 73b) located in a respective repeater station of a plurality of repeater stations (#1, #2, ..#n) provided along an optical transmission line between transmitting station 1 and receiving station 2.

Regarding claims 24 and 27, Grubb discloses a controller 34 for controlling the pump energy supplied via one or more of the pump wavelength (col. 6, lines 57-66).

Art Unit: 2633

7)

Regarding claims 2, 3, 28 and 29, Grubb further discloses optical transmission line has a Raman gain as a function of wavelength in which an interval between a minimum value and a maximum value of a wavelength of said pump light coincides with a width of an amplifying wavelength band when a maximum value first appeared after a Raman gain generated by pump light starts showing coincides with a center wavelength of the amplifying wavelength band to be amplified (col. 11, lines 14-46), wherein second wavelength is set so that a maximum value first appeared after a second Raman gain generated by said pump light with said second wavelength starts showing substantially coincides with a local minimum value first appeared after a first Raman gain generated by pump light with said first wavelength starts showing, on said first wavelength (col. 5, lines 7-19, col. 11, lines 14-20).

Regarding claim 4, shielding the pump light is well known in the art, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to prevent the signal light leakage and provide a stronger light signal. Furthermore, whether or not to shield the pump light is merely an engineering design choice.

3. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawakami et al. US patent no. 6,263,139 in view of Grubb et al. US patent no. 6,344,922 and further in view of Wu US patent no. 6,423,963.

Regarding claims 20 and 21, as per claims above, Kawakami and Grubb disclose all the limitations except for stopping means for stopping supply of the pump light when warning is given. Wu discloses a method for shutting off pump

Art Unit: 2633

radiation from the Raman pump to the fiber (col. 3, lines 13-16), Wu system include the supervisor receiver provides the output to the decision block 104 for turning off the Raman pump in the even of a failure of supervisory channel source or a cut in fiber (col. 4, lines 51-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to include the teaching of Wu in the system of Kawakami and Grubb. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for reducing power consumption of Raman pump source and for safety mechanism of Raman pump source.

- 4. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 11-19 and 25 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 20-24 and 26-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2633

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-4729.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JASON CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600